Universiteit Utrecht

Mathematisch Instituut



3584 CD Utrecht

## Solutions Final Measure and Integration 2012-13

**Universiteit Utrecht** 

(1) Let  $(E, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$  be a measure space, and  $h : E \to \mathbb{R}$  a non-negative measurable function. Define a measure  $\mu$  on  $(E, \mathcal{B})$  by  $\mu(A) = \int_A h d\nu$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Show that for every non-negative measurable function  $F : E \to \mathbb{R}$  one has

$$\int_E F \, d\mu = \int_E Fh \, d\nu.$$

Conclude that the result is still true for  $F \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  which is not necessarily non-negative. (Hint: use a standard argument starting with indicator functions)

**Proof** Suppose first that  $F = 1_A$  is the indicator function of some measurable set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then,

$$\int_E F \, d\mu = \mu(A) = \int_A h \, d\nu = \int_E 1_A h d\nu = \int_E F h d\nu.$$

Suppose now that  $F = \sum_{k=1} \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$  is a non-negative measurable step function. Then,

$$\int_E F \, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mu(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \int_E 1_A h \, d\nu = \int_E \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k 1_A h \, d\nu = \int_E F h \, d\nu.$$

Suppose that F is a non-negative measurable function, then there exists a sequence of non-negative measurable step functions  $F_n$  such that  $F_n \uparrow F$ . Then,  $F_nh \uparrow Fh$ , and by Beppo-Levi,

$$\int_{E} F \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} F_n \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} F_n h d\nu = \int_{E} F h d\nu$$

Finally, suppose that  $F \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Since  $F^+, F^-$  are non-negative, we have

$$\int_E F^+ d\mu = \int_E F^+ h \, d\nu \text{ and } \int_E F^- d\mu = \int_E F^- h \, d\nu.$$

Since  $F \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , from the above we see that  $Fh \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$ , hence

$$\int_{E} F \, d\mu = \int_{E} F^{+} \, d\mu - \int_{E} F^{-} \, d\mu = \int_{E} F^{+} h \, d\nu - \int_{E} F^{-} h \, d\nu = \int_{E} F h \, d\nu.$$

(2) Consider the measure space  $((0, \infty), \mathcal{B}((0, \infty), \lambda))$ , where  $\mathcal{B}((0, \infty))$  and  $\lambda$  are the restrictions of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and Lebesgue measure to the interval  $(0, \infty)$ . Show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0,n)} \left( 1 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^n e^{-2x} d\lambda(x) = 1.$$

(Hint: note that  $1 + x \le e^x$ ).

**Proof:** Let  $u_n(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,n)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{-2x}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}e^{-x}$ . Using the fact that  $1+x \leq e^x$ , we see that  $u_n(x) \leq \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}e^{-x}$ . Since the function  $e^{-x}$  is positive, measurable and the improper Riemann integrable on  $[0,\infty)$  exists, it follows that it is Lebesgue integrable on  $[0,\infty)$  (and hence also on  $(0,\infty)$ ). By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0,n)} \left( 1 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^n e^{-2x} d\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int u_n(x) d\lambda(x)$$
$$= \int \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)} e^{-x} d\lambda(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx = 1.$$

(3) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a probability space (i.e.  $\mu(X) = 1$ ) and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  such that  $\int_X |f_n| d\mu = n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Let

$$A_n = \{ x : |f_n(x) - \int_X f_n d\mu| \ge n^3 \}.$$

- (a) Show that  $\mu\left(\bigcap_{m\geq 1}\bigcup_{n\geq m}A_n\right)=0$ . (Hint: use Exercise 6.9 (Borel-Cantelli Lemma)).
- (b) Use part (a) to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $m_0 \ge 1$  such that

$$\mu\{x \in X : |f_n(x)| < n^3 + n, \text{ for all } n \ge m_0\} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

**Proof (a)** By Markov Inequality we have

$$\mu(A_n) \le \frac{1}{n^3} \int_X |f_n(x) - \int_X f_n d\mu| \, d\mu \le \frac{2n}{n^3} = \frac{2}{n^2}.$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} < \infty$ , it follows by Borel-Cantelli Lemma (Exercise 6.9) that

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{m\geq 1}\bigcup_{n\geq m}A_n\right)=0.$$

**Proof (b)** By part (a) we have  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{m\geq 1}\bigcap_{n\geq m}A_n^c\right) = 1$ . By Theorem 4.4(iii),

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \ge m} A_n^c\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{m \ge 1} \bigcap_{n \ge m} A_n^c\right) = 1.$$

Hence, given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $m_0 \ge 1$  such that  $\mu\left(\bigcap_{n\ge m_0} A_n^c\right) > 1-\epsilon$ . But for  $x \in \bigcap_{n\ge m_0} A_n^c$  one has for  $n\ge m_0$ ,

$$|f_n(x)| - |\int f_n \, d\mu| \le |f_n(x) - \int f_n(x) \, d\mu| < n^3,$$

and thus,  $|f_n(x)| < n^3 + n$ . This implies that

$$\mu\{x \in X : |f_n(x)| < n^3 + n, \text{ for all } n \ge m_0\} \ge \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \ge m_0} A_n^c\right) > 1 - \epsilon.$$

(4) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $(A_i)$  a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ .

- (a) Show that  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ , i.e. the sequence  $(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})$  converges to 0 in measure.
- (b) Show that for any  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , one has  $u \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ .
- (c) Show that for any  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , one has

$$\sup_n \int_{\{|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > |u|\}} |u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \, d\mu = 0.$$

(d) Show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{A_n} u \, d\mu = 0$ .

**Proof (a)**: For any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $anyA \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , we have

$$\mu(A \cap \{\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > \epsilon\}) = \mu(A \cap A_n) \le \mu(A_n).$$

Thus,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mu(A \cap \{\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > \epsilon\}) = 0$  and hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A \cap \{\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > \epsilon\}) = 0$ . This implies  $\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ .

**Proof (b)**: Let  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , one has

$$\mu(A \cap \{|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > \epsilon\}) = \mu(A \cap A_n \cap \{|u| > \epsilon\}) \le \mu(A_n).$$

This shows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A \cap \{|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > \epsilon\}) = 0$ , and hence  $u\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ .

**Proof (c)**: Let  $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Note that  $|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \leq |u|$ , thus the set  $\{|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > |u|\}$  is empty. By Theorem 10.9(ii), we have

$$\int_{\{|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > |u|\}} |u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \, d\mu = 0$$

for all n and hence  $\sup_{j} \int_{\{|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} > |u|\}} |u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n} d\mu = 0.$ 

**Proof (d)**: By part (c) we see that the sequence  $(|u|\mathbf{1}_{A_n})$  is uniformly integrable. Hence, by part (b) and Vitali's Theorem 16.6 we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |u| \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||u \mathbf{1}_{A_n}||_1 = 0.$$

Since

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |\int u \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \, d\mu| \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int |u| \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int |u| \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \, d\mu$$

the result follows.

- (5) Let  $E = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1\}$ . We consider on E the restriction of the product Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, and the restriction of the product Lebesgue measure  $\lambda \times \lambda$ . Let  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f(x, y) = y \sin x e^{-xy}$ .
  - (a) Show that f is  $\lambda \times \lambda$  integrable on E.
  - (b) Applying Fubini's Theorem to the function f, show that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x} - e^{-x} \right) dx = \frac{1}{2} \log 2$$

**Proof (a)** Notice that f is continuous, and hence measurable. Furthermore,  $|f(x,y)| \le ye^{-xy}$ . The function  $g(x,y) = ye^{-xy}$  is non-negative measurable function, hence by Tonelli's Theorem,

$$\begin{split} \int_{E} |f(x,y)| d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x,y) &\leq \int_{E} y e^{-xy} d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x,y) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} y e^{-xy} dx dy \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} 1 \, dy = 1. \end{split}$$

Notice that the integrands are Riemann integrable, hence the Riemann integral equals the Lebesgue integral, also the second equality is obtained by integration by parts. This shows that f is  $\lambda \times \lambda$  integrable on E.

**Proof (b)** By Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_E f(x,y)d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x,y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty y \, \sin x \, e^{-xy} dx dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 y \, \sin x \, e^{-xy} dy dx$$

Using integration by parts, one has

$$\int_0^\infty y\,\sin x\,e^{-xy}dx = \frac{y}{y^2+1}$$

Hence,

$$\int_E f(x,y)d(\lambda \times \lambda)(x,y) = \int_0^1 \frac{y}{y^2 + 1} dy = \frac{1}{2}\log 2$$

On the other hand, again by integration by parts one has,

$$\int_0^1 y \, \sin x \, e^{-xy} dy = \frac{\sin x}{x} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x} - e^{-x} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x} - e^{-x} \right) dx = \frac{1}{2} \log 2.$$