Software Testing and Verification 2021 EXAM June 28th, 15:15 – 18:15

- Submit your answers via Blackboard. The answers have to be in PDF format, and your name and student number should be stated clearly at the top if the first page.
- You can use the lecture note and existing online resources. You are **not** allowed to communicate about the exam question with anyone, apart from the lecturers, during the exam. This includes posting questions on forums, chats, or the like.
- If you have **questions** during the exam, use MS Teams **direct** message to g.k.keller@uu.nl.
- Clarifications and fixes will be posted by Wishnu or Gabriele on the **Exam** MS Teams channel. To keep traffic there low, please **do not post on the Exam channel**.
- If you do copy answers from sources other than course resources (lecture notes, slides), you need to cite your source.
- A maximum of 100 points can be obtained in this exam. They will be scaled to count for a maximum 10 points towards your final marks.

Question	Points	Score
PART I	60	
PART II	40	
Total:	100	

PART I

(a) (10 points) Consider the following two functional programs:

(1)maxAll1 [] = 0 (2) $(x:xs) = x \max (\max All xs)$ maxAll1 (3) maxAll2 n [] $= 0 \max n$ (4)maxAll2 n (x: xs) = maxAll2 (n max x) xs Using induction over lists and equational reasoning, show that for all lists of integers xs, we have maxAll1 xs = maxAll2 0 xs You can use the fact that **max** is associative, that is $a \max (b \max c) = (a \max b) \max c$ (5)

(b) (6 points) Is the following statement correct or incorrect?

For any postcondition Q and program S

$$\{* \ (wp \ S \ Q) \lor Q \ *\} \ S \ \{* \ Q \ *\}$$

is a valid Hoare-triple.

If it is correct, provide a proof. If it is incorrect, provide a counter example.

(c) (8 points) Show that the following theorem holds:

If

 $(P \land \neg P_1) \Rightarrow P_2$

holds, and

 $\{* P_1 *\} S \{* Q_1 *\}$

and

 $\{* P_2 *\} S \{* Q_2 *\}$

are valid Hoare-triples, then

$$\{*P *\} S \{*Q_1 \lor Q_2 *\}$$

is a valid Hoare-triple as well.

(d) (8 points) What is the weakest precondition of the program S:

if (x > y)
 then x := x - 2;
 else y := y + 5;

and the post condition x = y? Provide the calculation of the weakest precondition, and simplify as much as possible.

(e) (8 points) Given the program S, where all variables are of type int

x := x + y; y := x - y; x := x - y; Is the following Hoare-triple a valid specification?

 $\{*x < 15 \Rightarrow x = y *\} S \{*y > 10 \lor x = y *\}$

If so, provide the proof. If not, explain why not. In both cases, provide the weakest precondition calculation.

(f) (10 points) Consider the following program S:

a[i] := 2 * a[j]; a[j] := a[j] - 1; a[i] := a[i] + 1;

Provide the calculation for (wp S(a[i] = a[j])). Simplify as much as possible.

(g) (10 points) Consider the following program S:

```
while (x >= y) do
    if (z > 0)
        then z := z-1;
        x := x+z;
    else y := y+1;
}
```

} end;

What is the weakest precondition P such that $\{*P *\} S \{* true *\}$ holds for total correctness interpretation? What is a suitable metric m to prove that S terminates, and what is a suitable invariant I? You do not need to provide the proof, just the weakest precondition, m and I.

PART II

(a) (10 points) Given the blackbox specification for the program foo: {* low ≤ up *} Low := low; foo (up, OUT low, OUT y) {* (Low ≤ y ≤ up) ∧ y - Low ≤ up - y} What is the weakest precondition of the program a := 2 * b; A := a; foo (3* a, a, b); and the postcondition Q : b - A ≤ 4
(b) (15 points) Consider the following program S:

```
i := 0;

ok := a[0] \leq a[1]; ;

while (ok) do {

i := i + 1;

ok := a[i] \leq a[i+1];

}
```

Show that $\{* true *\} S \{* Q *\}$ for the postcondition

 $Q: (\forall \mathtt{k}: 0 \leq \mathtt{k} < \mathtt{i}: \mathtt{a}[\mathtt{k}] \leq \mathtt{a}[\mathtt{k}+1]) \land (\mathtt{a}[\mathtt{i}+1] < \mathtt{a}[\mathtt{i}])$

holds under partial correctness interpretation.

(c) (5 points) Consider the precondition

```
P:N>0
```

```
and the program S
i := 0;
while (i < N) do {
    if (a[i] == 0)
        then i := i + 1
        else a[i] := 0;
} end;</pre>
```

Explain briefly in natural language why the S terminates under the precondition P.

(d) (10 points) For the program S from the previous question, find a termination metric m and invariant I, and provide a formal termination proof.

Hint: You can use a conditional expression of the form $(c \rightarrow e_1 \mid e_2)$ as part of your metric.

Note: The proof itself is not very complicated, but the correct metric may not be obvious, so you may want to leave it for last.

- State the metric and loop invariant clearly.
- Every step in your proof should include a justification (the hint/comment part).